# RoC Key Performance Indicators

***Disposal of LLP*** ***eForms***

Performance Metrics:Keep it Up

Performance to be further improved

Needs Improvement

Bad Performance, All out efforts required

| OFFICE | | CARRY-OVER PENDENCY | INFLOW | WORKLOAD | DISPOSAL | DISPOSAL % | RANK | LAST WEEK'S RANK | CHANGE | REMARKS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | *Pendency of the office as on 20.01.2017* | *Inflow of eForms during the week 21.01.2017-27.01.2017* | *Carry-over Pendency + Inflow* | *Disposal during the week 21.01.2017-27.01.2017* | *Disposal / Workload* | 👍 | | | |
| RoC - Ahmedabad | | 41 | 305 | 346 | 256 | 74% | 4 | 4 | ↔ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Bangalore | | 341 | 252 | 593 | 219 | 37% | 16 | 19 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Chandigarh | | 14 | 51 | 65 | 32 | 49% | 11 | 6 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Chennai | | 124 | 121 | 245 | 36 | 15% | 19 | 20 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Chhattisgarh | | 6 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 85% | 1 | 11 | ↑ | Performance to be further improved |
| RoC - Coimbatore | | 5 | 19 | 24 | 7 | 29% | 18 | 10 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Cuttack | | 2 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 78% | 2 | 1 | ↓ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Delhi | | 475 | 564 | 1039 | 392 | 38% | 15 | 16 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Ernakulam | | 171 | 68 | 239 | 0 | 0% | 21 | 18 | *ZERO DISPOSAL* | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Goa | | 5 | 10 | 15 | 7 | 47% | 13 | 14 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Gwalior | | 15 | 78 | 93 | 53 | 57% | 10 | 7 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Himachal Pradesh | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 67% | 5 | 23 | ↑ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Hyderabad | | 160 | 158 | 318 | 150 | 47% | 12 | 21 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| RoC - Jaipur | | 66 | 101 | 167 | 110 | 66% | 6 | 13 | ↑ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Jammu | | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 75% | 3 | 5 | ↑ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Jharkhand | | 7 | 9 | 16 | 2 | 13% | 20 | 9 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Kanpur | | 48 | 135 | 183 | 113 | 62% | 8 | 8 | ↔ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Kolkata | | 63 | 180 | 243 | 143 | 59% | 9 | 3 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Mumbai | | 893 | 778 | 1671 | 529 | 32% | 17 | 17 | ↔ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Patna | | 7 | 16 | 23 | 0 | 0% | 21 | 2 | *ZERO DISPOSAL* | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Pondicherry | | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0% | 21 | 23 | *ZERO DISPOSAL* | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Pune | | 139 | 262 | 401 | 168 | 42% | 14 | 12 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Shillong | | 5 | 21 | 26 | 17 | 65% | 7 | 14 | ↑ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Uttarakhand | | 16 | 14 | 30 | 0 | 0% | 21 | 22 | *ZERO DISPOSAL* | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |

# RoC / RD Key Performance Indicators

*Disposal of Company eForms (FTE eForms not included)*

Performance Metrics:Keep it Up

Performance to be further improved

Needs Improvement

Bad Performance, All out efforts required

| OFFICE | | CARRY-OVER PENDENCY | INFLOW | WORKLOAD | DISPOSAL | DISPOSAL % | OVERALL RANK | RoC RANK | RD RANK | LAST WEEK'S RANK | CHANGE | REMARKS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | *Pendency of the office as on 20.01.2017* | *Inflow of eForms during the week 21.01.2017-27.01.2017* | *Carry-over Pendency + Inflow* | *Disposal during the week 21.01.2017-27.01.2017* | *Disposal / Workload* | | | | | | |
| Central Registration Centre | | 446 | 7503 | 7949 | 7079 | 89% | 3 | | | 4 | ↑ | Performance to be further improved |
| DIN CELL-HQDL | | 192 | 1660 | 1852 | 1624 | 88% | 4 | | | 3 | ↓ | Performance to be further improved |
| RD - Ahmedabad | | 38 | 12 | 50 | 9 | 18% | 26 | | 2 | 22 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RD - Chennai | | 49 | 18 | 67 | 10 | 15% | 27 | | 3 | 27 | ↔ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RD - Guwahati | | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0% | 32 | | 7 | 32 | *ZERO DISPOSAL* | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RD - Hyderabad | | 55 | 16 | 71 | 14 | 20% | 25 | | 1 | 26 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RD - Kolkata | | 572 | 32 | 604 | 21 | 3% | 29 | | 4 | 31 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RD - Mumbai | | 281 | 19 | 300 | 2 | 1% | 30 | | 5 | 28 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RD - North | | 678 | 40 | 718 | 1 | 0% | 31 | | 6 | 30 | *ZERO DISPOSAL* | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Ahmedabad | | 202 | 277 | 479 | 263 | 55% | 14 | 12 | | 16 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Bangalore | | 653 | 318 | 971 | 357 | 37% | 20 | 18 | | 24 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Chandigarh | | 29 | 83 | 112 | 82 | 73% | 9 | 7 | | 10 | ↑ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Chennai | | 160 | 246 | 406 | 160 | 39% | 18 | 16 | | 15 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Chhattisgarh | | 4 | 26 | 30 | 24 | 80% | 6 | 4 | | 6 | ↔ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Coimbatore | | 17 | 60 | 77 | 39 | 51% | 15 | 13 | | 14 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Cuttack | | 8 | 33 | 41 | 39 | 95% | 2 | 2 | | 1 | ↓ | Keep it Up |
| RoC - Delhi | | 810 | 913 | 1723 | 861 | 50% | 16 | 14 | | 17 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Ernakulam | | 570 | 136 | 706 | 184 | 26% | 23 | 21 | | 29 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Goa | | 3 | 12 | 15 | 9 | 60% | 11 | 9 | | 8 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Gwalior | | 8 | 96 | 104 | 85 | 82% | 5 | 3 | | 5 | ↔ | Performance to be further improved |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| RoC - Himachal Pradesh | | 15 | 4 | 19 | 14 | 74% | 8 | 6 | 25 | ↑ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Hyderabad | | 275 | 300 | 575 | 225 | 39% | 19 | 17 | 20 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Jaipur | | 122 | 119 | 241 | 147 | 61% | 10 | 8 | 21 | ↑ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Jammu | | 0 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 80% | 6 | 4 | 2 | ↓ | Needs Improvement |
| RoC - Jharkhand | | 3 | 22 | 25 | 10 | 40% | 17 | 15 | 7 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Kanpur | | 24 | 194 | 218 | 130 | 60% | 12 | 10 | 9 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Kolkata | | 194 | 296 | 490 | 289 | 59% | 13 | 11 | 13 | ↔ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Mumbai | | 899 | 753 | 1652 | 543 | 33% | 21 | 19 | 19 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Patna | | 9 | 28 | 37 | 9 | 24% | 24 | 22 | 12 | ↓ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Pondicherry | | 5 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 9% | 28 | 23 | 32 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Pune | | 206 | 161 | 367 | 120 | 33% | 22 | 20 | 18 | ↑ | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |
| RoC - Shillong | | 5 | 29 | 34 | 36 | 106% | 1 | 1 | 11 | ↑ | Keep it Up |
| RoC - Uttarakhand | | 16 | 16 | 32 | 0 | 0% | 32 | 24 | 23 | *ZERO DISPOSAL* | Bad Performance, All out efforts required |